

Application and Review Criteria for New Coordinated Entry Project Applications

1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project.
2. Describe how the additional funding requested will result in increased efficiency in the coordinated entry process including but not limited to:
 - a. Reduction in time to make referrals from coordinated entry to housing provider
 - b. Reduction in time from referral to housing provider to placement in housing
 - c. Reduction in the number of referrals from coordinated entry to housing providers that do not result in a housing placement (either the referral is not accepted by the housing provider or the provider is not able to house the referred household)
 - d. Increased training or support to agencies participating in coordinated entry
3. Describe what the additional funding requested will be used for and why you believe it will result in the improvements identified above
4. Describe why this project is essential to the RICOC
5. Provide a detailed budget showing both current funding and additional funding requested and how the total funding for the new/expanded project would be expended.

Scoring Factors for New/ Expansion Coordinated Entry Projects

- a. **Experience and Effectiveness** (40 points total)
 - a. Experience in operating coordinated entry, ability to promptly make referrals to available housing (CE). – 20 points
 - b. CE: will the proposed project result in increased efficiency and speed in the coordinated entry process – 20 points
- b. **Financial** (30 points total)
 - a. Audit – no issues or findings with most recent audit – 5 points
 - b. Match – source of match is documented and realistic – 5 points
 - c. Costs requested are reasonable, allocable and allowable – 20 points
- c. **Timeliness** (20 points total)
 - a. Project plan demonstrates that project and/or expansion can be rapidly implemented – 20 points
- d. **Meeting Federal requirements** (10 points total)
 - a. At least 95% of prior grant funds expended - 5 points
 - b. No unresolved monitoring findings from HUD or RICoC - 5 points

Determination as “Essential”

The RICOC’s Recipient Approval and Evaluation Committee will review the application and scoring to determine a recommendation to the RICOC Board on the essential nature of any proposed CES projects/expansions. See [FY2019 Ranking and Reallocation policy](#) for additional information.